



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306  
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>  
Office: (317) 232-2701  
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

IN THE MATTER OF THE INDIANA )  
UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION'S )  
INVESTIGATION OF MATTERS RELATED )  
TO THE BUSINESS PRACTICES OF )  
ANNOX INC., IN THE STATE OF INDIANA )  
PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 8-1-2-1(A) )  
AND 8-1-2-58 )

CAUSE NO. 42521

**FILED**

NOV 12 2003

INDIANA UTILITY  
REGULATORY COMMISSION

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following entry to be made:

On November 7, 2003, Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc. ("Metro") filed a *Petition to Intervene* ("Petition") in the above captioned Cause, pursuant to 170 IAC § 1-1.1-11.

Intervention in proceedings before the Commission is governed by 170 § IAC 1-1.1-11, which states, in part:

- (a) A petition to intervene may be filed by any person or entity alleging a substantial interest in the subject matter of the proceeding in which the person or entity requests leave to intervene.

This Cause was initiated by Commission order on October 8, 2003. That Order set out the bare facts upon which the Commission based its need for an investigation, specifically a series of transactions between Metro and Annox, Inc. ("Annox") which appear to have been in violation of 170 I.A.C. 7-1.1-19. As such, while the caption of the order stated that the Commission was pursuing an investigation regarding the business practices of Annox, it was inadvertence that Metro was left out of the caption. The Commission fully intended that Metro be a party from the outset, and so amends the caption as follows:

**IN THE MATTER OF THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION'S  
INVESTIGATION OF MATTERS RELATED TO THE BUSINESS PRACTICES OF  
ANNOX, INC. AND METRO TELECONNECT COMPANIES, INC., IN THE STATE OF  
INDIANA PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE 8-1-2-1(A) AND 8-1-2-58**

The Presiding Officers have reviewed Metro's Petition in this matter, and have determined that Metro's Petition is moot, given our amendment to the caption and notice to Metro that it is a party to this Cause. Therefore, Metro's *Petition to Intervene* is hereby DISMISSED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

*Judith G. Ripley*  
Judith G. Ripley, Commissioner

*Lorraine Hitz-Bradley*  
Lorraine Hitz-Bradley, Administrative Law Judge

Date: *November 12, 2003*

*Nancy Manley acting for*  
Nancy Manley, Secretary to the Commission